

Evaluation Form (All Academic Staff in FY2027)

Serial No.	
Theme	
Research Title	
Evaluator	(please type) _____ (Signature)
Due date	

Criteria		1	2	3	4	5	Score (1-5)
<b>1 Adequacy of research aims, objectives and questions</b>		<b>Your comments will be used in the final selection of the projects and provide valuable feedback to applicants, so please ensure you provide sufficient detail to justify your score.</b>					
1.1	The overall aim and research questions are clearly stated	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
1.2	The objectives of the project are S.M.A.R.T. (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Timely)	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
1.3	The proposal directly addresses the theme against which it was submitted	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>2 Adequacy of the research methodologies</b>							
2.1	The proposal provides a clear description and appropriate research methodology	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
2.2	The research methodology aligns with the research question and objectives	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
2.3	The research methodology is up-to-date and based on state-of-the-art practices	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>3 Originality</b>							
3.1	This project will contribute new knowledge to the maritime field	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
3.2	This project is novel and presents work or methods which have not been seen before	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
3.3	The proposal references existing work in the field and explains how it will build upon that work	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>4 Collaboration with other IAMU member universities involved</b>							
4.1	This project will bring a number of member universities together from a wide geographical area	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
4.2	The research team is well qualified and experienced to complete the work as proposed	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
4.3	The collaboration in this proposal adds value to the project	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
4.4	This project will help to build research capability within IAMU member Universities	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>5 Potential contribution to IAMU and value of expected impact</b>							
5.1	This proposal is clearly aligned with the IAMU Mission (Article III, Basic Agreement)	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
5.2	If delivered, this project will have a positive impact on the IAMU members	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
5.3	This project will have a positive impact beyond the IAMU membership, including the maritime community	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>6 Feasibility of the budget and timeline</b>							
6.1	The work plan can realistically be delivered within the project timeframe	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
6.2	The budget conforms to the basis for calculation in the Guidelines for Research Proposals	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
<b>7 Quality of proposal structure</b>							
7.1	The proposal is complete and provides sufficiently detailed information under all headings	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
7.2	The proposal is well structured, easy to understand and word count limits are respected	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
							0

note;